



J. Douglas Wilson, Assistant United States Attorney, San Francisco, California, for the plaintiff-appellee.





(9th Cir. 1968). We must then apply the further principle that "if the language of a statute is clear, we look no further than that language in determining the statute's meaning." Oregon Natural Res. Council, Inc. v. Kantor, 99 F.3d 334, 339 (9th Cir. 1996) (citations omitted). At least, we do so unless "the apparent plain meaning of a statute . . . leads to absurd or impracticable consequences." Id.

ically present in the United States."



## CONCLUSION

Perhaps a jury would believe Romo's story that he escaped